

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,317	04/02/2007	Bernard Claveau	113035-00135	5826
27557 7590 99/05/2008 BLANK ROME LLP 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			AHMED, SHAMIM	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562 317 CLAVEAU, BERNARD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Shamim Ahmed 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/07

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/562,317

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

 Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the "wherein" phrase uses twice in lines 1 and 2. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-16645 (Japanese reference, herein after) in view of Sunthankar (5,366,764).

The Japanese reference discloses a process and device for coating or applying a film on a extruded bar (17), wherein the substrate bar is pressurized to move in a direction on a die (13) and the substrate to be coated is heated and cooled (see the abstract page and figure 1), which teaching reads on the claimed limitation of "applying a decorated film on extruded bars -- through a matrix and die, which are heated and supported by a base plate"

Unlike the instant invention, the Japanese reference fails to teach the supporting plate is vibrated.

However, Sunthankar teach a sublimation process for coating or applying a decorative film on a substrate including the step of vibrating the substrate holder or Art Unit: 1792

supporter for improving uniformity of the deposited material (col.10, lines 6-15 and 26-29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to employ Sunthankar's teaching into the teaching of the Japanese reference for improving the coating uniformity as suggested by Sunthankar.

As to claim 3, the Japanese reference teaches cooling the extruded bar by spraying the bar with water (cooling liquid) (see the abstract and the figure 1).

As to claim 4, Sunthankar teaches a continuous coating or plating process, wherein the substrate is feeding into the apparatus (col.14, lines 34-38 and figure 6).

As to claim 5, Sunthankar teaches the substrate is vibrated by a suitable means (col.11, lines 34-38) for improving coating. So, the suitable means could have been the claimed ones as they are well known in the industries for vibrating substrate.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Teraoka (3,646,793) disclose a process for coating elongated substrate for continuous coating.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri (12:30-10:30) Every Monday

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shamim Ahmed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

SA September 2, 2008